Preston|Gates|Ellis & Rouvelas Meeds LLP

RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

FEB 0 7 2005

FAX.COLER SHEET

TO:

Examiner F. Pierre VanderVegt

FAX NO:

703-872-9306

COMPANY:

US Patent and Trademark Office, Technology Center 1644

CONFIRMATION NO:

(571)272-0852

FROM:

Don J. Pelto

CLIENT-MATTER NO:

48506-00004

Es Taux Opposite:

February 7, 2005

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING THIS COVER SHEET: 2

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES, PLEASE CONTACT: [] FAX OPERATOR: (202) 661-3830

[I] NAME: Faye Simerly

EXT: 3724

COMMENTS

RE: US Patent Application Serial No. 09/831,112

I hereby certify that the attached Communication is being transmitted via facsimile to the US Patent and Trademark Office on 7 February 2005 An accordance with 37 CFR §§ 1.6 and 1.8.

The information contained in this facsimile is confidential and may also be attorney-privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received the facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by a collect telephone call to (202) 628-1700, and return the original message to us via the U.S. Postal Service. Thank you.

A LAW FIRM

A LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP INCLUDING OTHER LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.

09/831,112

Confirmation No. 8305

Applicant

Philippe BENAROCH et al.

Filed

25 May 2001

TC/Art Unit

1644

Examiner

F. Pierre VanderVegt

Docket No.

48506-00004

Customer No.

23767

COMMUNICATION

VIA FAX

Sir:

The following summarizes a telephone conversation C. Rachal Winger (Reg. No. 55,815) of the undersigned's firm and representing the Applicants had with the Examiner on Friday, 4 February 2005. The Applicants contacted the Examiner because Applicants had a Sunday, 6 February 2005 deadline to file a Notice of Appeal but had not received an Advisory Action or Notice of Allowance before this time. The Examiner stated to Applicants' representative that because Applicants had filed a timely reply to his last communication and the present delay was the result of a delay at the USPTO, a failure to file a Notice of Appeal before the Sunday 6 February 2005 deadline would not result in abandonment of the application. Further, the Examiner stated that, because of the delay at the USPTO, he would fax a non-final office action to Applicants during the week of 7-11 February 2005. Accordingly, Applicants are not filing a Notice of Appeal today, Monday 7 February 2005, but instead are awaiting a non-final office action in view of the attestations of the Examiner.

Respectfully submitted,

7 February 2005

Reg. No. 33,754

Preston Gates Ellis & Rouvelas Meeds LLP 1735 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 500 Washington, DC 20006

Telephone: (202) 628-1700 Facsimile: (202) 331-1024